ORIGINAL

OPEN MEETING

MEMORANDUM



RECEIVED

TO:

THE COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

2010 MAR 16 P 4: 06

FROM:

Utilities Division

MAR 1 6 2010

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

DATE:

March 16, 2010

DOCKETED BY

RE:

IN THE MATTER OF SOLARCITY CORPORATION REGARDING ITS

REQUEST FOR SPECIAL CONTRACT APPROVAL FOR SOLAR SERVICES

AGREEMENTS (DOCKET NO. E-20690A-10-0012)

BACKGROUND A.

On July 2, 2009, SolarCity Corporation ("SolarCity" or "Company") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") in Docket No. E-20690A-09-0346 an application for a determination that it is not acting as a public service corporation when it provides certain specific solar electric services to Arizona schools, governments, and non-profit entities ("Application"). The Application additionally requests expedited consideration of two specific Solar Service Agreements ("SSAs" or "Agreements") that it has entered with the Scottsdale Unified School District. The affected schools are Coronado High School, which is located at 2501 North 74th Street in Scottsdale, and Desert Mountain High School, located at 12575 East Via Linda in Scottsdale.

SolarCity stated in its Application that expedited consideration is necessary to allow Arizona to maximize its allocation of federal stimulus funding under the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act and to maximize available federal tax incentives.

On July 16, 2009, a procedural conference was held to discuss processing the Application. Appearing at the Procedural Conference were the following entities: SolarCity, Arizona Public Service Company ("APS"), Salt River Project ("SRP"), Tucson Electric Power Company, UNS Electric, Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc., Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc., Freeport-McMoRan, Arizonans for Electric Choice & Competition, Residential Utility Consumer Office, and Commission Utilities Division Staff ("Staff").

At the Procedural Conference, there was general agreement among the parties that an adjudication process usually requires the development of a factual record. The determination of whether SolarCity is a public service corporation will likely require an evidentiary hearing in order for the Commission to have an adequate record upon which to base its Decision. At the Procedural Conference, the possibility of a more streamlined form of regulation was also discussed for entities such as SolarCity should it be found to be acting as a public service corporation.

Mary to good

In order to allow SolarCity to take advantage of federal stimulus funding, Staff proposed a two-part procedure for processing the Application. This procedure would allow the Commission to issue "preliminary relief" through a Commission Decision by the August 2009 Open Meeting. The first step of Staff's proposed procedure involves review and evaluation of the SSAs as special contracts ("Track 1") for the purpose of positioning the Company to move forward pending the completion of an adjudication proceeding.

The adjudication proceeding ("Track 2") is the second step of the proposed procedure. The adjudication proceeding is designed to address SolarCity's arguments that it is not acting as a public service corporation with respect to its provision of service to the Scottsdale Unified School District.

This bifurcated procedure is meant not only to provide a means by which SolarCity can proceed with the projects identified in the Application, but also to allow an adequate evidentiary record for consideration of the issue of whether SolarCity is acting as a public service corporation through Track 2. Staff proposed that Track 1 (evaluation of the Agreements as special contracts) occur without prejudice to whatever position SolarCity, Staff, or any other party would choose to take in the adjudication proceeding. The parties appearing at the Procedural Conference generally supported Staff's proposed Track 1 and Track 2 process as long as the Commission's approval of the two SSAs as special contracts is without prejudice to consideration of Track 2 issues.

Staff's bifurcated procedural proposal was adopted in the Procedural Order of July 22, 2009. The Procedural Order required Staff to file a Staff report that includes an evaluation of the two SSAs that SolarCity has entered with the Scottsdale Unified School District for Coronado High School and Desert Mountain High School, and a recommendation to the Commission for action thereon. For Track 2, the Procedural Order established a procedural schedule for the filing of testimony and an evidentiary hearing on the issues raised by the Application. Decision No. 71277 of September 2009 approved rates in response to SolarCity's Track I Application for Coronado High School and Desert Mountain High School.

On January 14, 2010, SolarCity filed with the Commission (Docket No. E-20690A-09-0346) a second Track I application ("Track 1.1") for special contact approval of rates for SSAs with Casa Grande Elementary School District for its Cholla Elementary School ("Cholla") and Scottsdale Unified School District for its Copper Ridge Middle School ("Copper Ridge").

This memorandum deals with the Track 1.1 application.

B. STAFF ANALYSIS – SOLARCITY'S SSA WITH SCOTTSDALE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT AND SOLARCITY'S SSA WITH CASA GRANDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT

General Provisions Relating to the SSAs

SolarCity and the Scottsdale Unified School District have entered into an SSA for a photovoltaic project at Copper Ridge. SolarCity and the Casa Grande Elementary School District have entered into a similar SSA for Cholla. The costs to provide and install the photovoltaic ("PV") systems would be borne by SolarCity, and the School Districts would receive energy produced by the systems for a period of fifteen years at a contract rate of \$0.09 per kWh. SolarCity would retain ownership of the PV equipment.

The SSAs contain a provision that stipulates that the \$0.09 per kWh rate is subject to modification ("rebate variance") should anticipated rebates from APS change or become unavailable. The Agreements assume a rebate from APS of \$2.25 per Watt. Should the actual rebate be lower than anticipated, the contract price would be adjusted to reflect the actual rebate received pursuant to the SSAs. SolarCity has communicated to Staff through response to a data request, however, that reservations for the rebates have been made and that a rebate variance will not occur. At the end of the fifteen-year contract period, the School Districts will have an option to purchase the system at the higher of the specified contract price or fair market value. Alternatively, the School Districts can ask that the equipment be removed.

In the first Track I filing that occurred in Docket No. E-20690A-09-0346, SolarCity asked for approval of an \$0.11 per kWh rate. In Decision No. 71277 (September 2009), the Commission ordered that a rate of \$0.11 per kWh for the Desert Mountain High School Solar Service Agreement and the Coronado High School Solar Service Agreement be approved and that the rate may be adjusted upward to a maximum of \$0.1424 per kWh, pursuant to the Solar Service Agreement's rebate-variance provision.

Later, SolarCity made an application seeking to amend the Tract I Order to lower the bottom range of the approved rate from \$0.11 per kWh to \$0.09 per kWh.

In Decision No. 71443 (December 2009), the Commission ordered that the rate of \$0.09 per kWh for the Desert Mountain High School Solar Service Agreement and the Coronado High School Solar Service Agreement be approved and that this rate may be adjusted upward to a maximum of \$0.1424 per kWh, pursuant to the Solar Service Agreement's rebate-variance provision.

The instant application does not appear to specify a particular rate or rate range that is requested; however, the SSAs specify a contract rate of \$0.09 per kWh. Staff inquired of SolarCity whether a particular rate or rate range was sought. SolarCity replied that because the rebates have been reserved, SolarCity is seeking approval of the stated rate of \$0.09/kWh and no rate range is necessary.

Copper Ridge Middle School (Scottsdale Unified School District /APS Service Territory)

The SSA for Copper Ridge specifies the installation of a 510 kW PV system to generate a part of its electric load. Copper Ridge currently purchases its electric power from APS under the APS E-32 rate schedule. The Scottsdale Unified School District has communicated to Staff that, after installing the PV equipment, Copper Ridge will be served under either APS' E-32 tariff or alternatively under the EPR-6 tariff. Based on Staff's review of APS' tariffs, it appears that after installation of PV equipment, Copper Ridge would be on the APS EPR-6 (Net Metering) tariff. Schedule EPR-6 specifies that billing shall occur in accordance with the applicable Standard Retail Rate Schedule, which is presently E-32 Large (More than 400 kW) for Copper Ridge.

The APS E-32 Large rates for customers taking secondary service are currently as follows:

Basic Service Charge

\$1.627 per day

Per kW charges

\$9.384 per kW for the first 100 kW plus \$4.993 per kW for all additional kW

Per kWh charges

\$0.10093 per kWh for the first 200 kWh per kW, plus \$0.05902 per kWh for all additional kWh during the months of May through October

\$0.0843 per kWh for the first 200 kWh per kW, plus \$0.04239 per kWh for all additional kWh during the months of November through April

Summer is defined as May through October. Winter is defined as November through April.

The Scottsdale Unified School District provided Staff with information from its cost-benefit analysis of the Copper Ridge SSA. The Scottsdale Unified School District relied on a cost-benefit analysis provided to it by SolarCity and independently verified assumptions contained in the analysis. SolarCity also consulted with APS in regard to assumptions contained in the analysis. The analysis demonstrates savings beginning in the first year of operation of the solar facilities and continuing throughout a 26-year period. Results of the Scottsdale Unified School District's analysis are included as Attachment A to the Staff memorandum.

SolarCity also provided Staff with a cost-benefit analysis of the Copper Ridge SSA. Results of the SolarCity analysis are included as Attachment B to the Staff memorandum. The SolarCity analysis reflects the same information seen in Attachment A to the Staff memorandum as it was the basis for Scottsdale Unified School District's analysis.

Staff also conducted a cost-benefit analysis of the installation of the proposed solar facility for Copper Ridge based on the \$0.09 per kWh SSA rate and also found that benefits exceeded costs. Staff's cost-benefit analysis calculates savings in each month of a single calendar year and a positive total net savings for the calendar year. Attachment C to the Staff Memorandum contains results of the cost-benefit analysis performed by Staff for the Copper Ridge SSA. Both the Scottsdale Unified School District's analysis and the SolarCity analyses differ from Staff's in that they are multi-year rather than single-year analyses.

In consideration of all of the information received by Staff in its analysis, Staff believes that a rate of \$0.09 per kWh is just and reasonable and should be approved by the Commission.

Cholla Elementary School (Casa Grande Elementary School District/ APS Service Territory)

The SSA for Cholla specifies the installation of a 275 kW photovoltaic ("PV") system to generate a part of its electric load. Cholla currently purchases its electric power from APS under the APS E-32 rate schedule. The Casa Grande Elementary School District has communicated to Staff that, after installing the PV equipment, Cholla will be served under either APS' E-32 tariff or alternatively under the EPR-6 tariff. Based on Staff's review of APS' tariffs, it appears that after installation of PV equipment, Cholla would be on the APS EPR-6 (Net Metering) tariff. Schedule EPR-6 specifies that billing shall occur in accordance with the applicable Standard Retail Rate Schedule, which is presently E-32 Medium (101 to 400 kW) for Cholla.

The APS E-32 Medium rates for customers taking secondary service are currently as follows:

Basic Service Charge \$1.324 per day

Per kW charges \$9.597 per kW for the first 100 kW plus \$5.105 per kW for all additional kW

Per kWh charges

\$0.1032 per kWh for the first 200 kWh per kW, plus \$0.06034 per kWh for all additional kWh during the months of May through October

\$0.08619 per kWh for the first 200 kWh per kW, plus \$0.04334 per kWh for all additional kWh during the months of November through April

Summer is defined as May through October. Winter is defined as November through April.

THE COMMISSION March 16, 2010 Page 6

The Casa Grande Elementary School District relied on a cost-benefit analysis provided to it by SolarCity. SolarCity provided Staff with a cost-benefit analysis of the Cholla SSA.

Results of the SolarCity analysis are included as Attachment D to the Staff memorandum.

Staff also conducted a cost-benefit analysis of the installation of the proposed solar facility for Cholla based on the \$0.09 per kWh SSA rate and also found that benefits exceeded costs. Staff's cost-benefit analysis calculates savings in most months of a single calendar year and a positive total net savings for the calendar year. Attachment E to the Staff Memorandum contains results of the cost-benefit analysis performed by Staff for the Cholla SSA. SolarCity's analysis differs from Staff's in that it is a multi-year rather than single-year analysis. Note that in Staff's analysis benefits of accrued billing credits that result from net solar production in certain months are not included in this model, but will likely occur in practice.

In consideration of all of the information received by Staff in its analysis, Staff believes that a rate of \$0.09 per kWh is just and reasonable and should be approved by the Commission.

Fair Value Analysis

Staff also considered the fair value implications of this matter. Staff obtained information from SolarCity indicating that an estimated fair value for the assets to be used to serve the School Districts would be approximately \$3.3 million for Copper Ridge and \$1.8 million for Cholla. While Staff considered the fair value information submitted by SolarCity, this information should not be given substantial weight in this analysis. The rates contained in the SSA are heavily influenced by the availability of stimulus funds, other federal incentives, utility rebates, and certain market conditions. Staff believes that the proposed \$0.09 per kWh rate compares favorably to the rates the School Districts would otherwise pay and, under the circumstances presented herein, the proposed rates are just and reasonable.

C. STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff recommends approval of the proposed SSA rates as special contract rates between SolarCity and the School Districts for solar facilities at Copper Ridge and Cholla in order to provide a means for the School Districts and SolarCity to move forward with these projects.

In consideration of all of the information received by Staff in its analysis, Staff believes that a rate of \$0.09 per kWh is just and reasonable and should be approved by the Commission.

THE COMMISSION March 16, 2010 Page 7

Staff recommends that the Commission's Order in this matter specify that approval of these rates as special contract rates does not prejudice any future consideration of whether SolarCity is acting as a public service corporation when it provides service pursuant to the SSAs at issue in this Docket.

Steven M. Olea

Director

Utilities Division

SMO:SPI:lhm\CHH

ORIGINATOR: Steve Irvine

Year	Avg. Util. \$/kWh Annual Usage	Annual Usage	Annual Solar Production	SSA Payment	Utility Payment with Sofar	Total Payments with Solar	Total Payment without Solar	Savings
	\$0.1142	1 914 160	0	\$	\$0 N/A	N/A	\$218,597	\$0
			816,000	\$73,4	\$130,489	\$203,929	\$227,450	\$23,521
'	2 \$0.1236		811,920	\$73,073	\$136,278		\$236,662	\$27,311
			807,860	\$72,707	\$142,320	\$215,027	\$246,247	\$31,220
			803,821		\$148,624		\$256,220	\$35,252
			799,802	\$71,982	\$155,203	\$227,186	\$266,597	\$39,411
			795,803	\$71,622	\$162,069	\$233,691	\$277,394	\$43,703
			791,824	\$71,264	\$169,232	\$240,497	\$288,628	\$48,132
			787,865	\$70,908	\$176,708	\$247,615	\$300,318	\$52,702
			783,926	\$70,553	\$184,507	\$255,061	\$312,481	\$57,420
			780,006	\$70,201	\$192,646	\$262,846	\$325,136	\$62,290
-	111 \$0.1767		776,106	\$69,850	\$201,137	\$270,987	\$338,304	\$67,318
	12 \$0.1839		772,225	\$69,500	\$209,997		\$352,005	\$72,508
		L	768,364	\$69,153	\$219,240	\$288,393	\$366,262	\$77,869
			764,522	\$68,807	\$228,884	\$297,691	\$381,095	\$83,404
			760,700	\$68,463	\$238,946	\$307,409	\$396,530	\$89,120
			756,896	\$68,121	\$249,443	\$317,564	\$412,589	\$95,025
			753,112	\$67,780	\$260,394	\$328,175		\$101,124
	18 \$0.2334		749,346	\$67,441	\$271,819	\$339,260	\$446,686	\$107,425
	19 \$0.2428		745,600	\$67,104	\$283,738	\$350,842	\$464,776	\$113,935
2			741,872	\$66,768	\$296,171	\$362,939	\$483,600	\$120,660
1	21 \$0.2629	1,914,16	738,162	\$66,435	\$309,141	\$375,575	\$503,185	\$127,610
2		1,914,16	734,471	\$66,102	\$322,671	\$388,773	\$523,565	\$134,792
7			730,799		\$335,784	\$402,556	\$544,769	\$142,213
~			727,145	\$65,443	\$351,506	\$416,949	\$566,832	\$149,883
12				\$65,116	\$366,862	\$431,978	\$589,789	\$157,811
[719.892	\$64,790	\$382,880	\$447,670	\$613,675	\$166,005

Utility escalator = 4.05% PPA rate: \$... € 0.09

\$811,181 \$2,227,665

Total savings 15 years: Total savings 25 years:

_																											
Savings	\$0	\$23,521	\$27,311	\$31,220	\$35,252	\$39,411	\$43,703	\$48,132	\$52,702	\$57,420	\$62,290	\$67,318	\$72,508	\$77,869	\$83,404	\$89,120	\$95,025	\$101,124	\$107,425	\$113,935	\$120,660	\$127,610	\$134,792	\$142,213	\$149,883	\$157,811	\$166,005
Total Payment without Solar	\$218,597	\$227,450	\$236,662	\$246,247	\$256,220	\$266,597	\$277,394	\$288,628	\$300,318	\$312,481	\$325,136	\$338,304	\$352,005	\$366,262	\$381,095	\$396,530	\$412,589	\$429,299	\$446,686	\$464,776	\$483,600	\$503,185	\$523,565	\$544,769	\$566,832	\$589,789	\$613,675
Total Payments with Solar	N/A	\$203,929	\$209,351	\$215,027	\$220,968	\$227,186	\$233,691	\$240,497	\$247,615	\$255,061	\$262,846	\$270,987	\$279,497	\$288,393	\$297,691	\$307,409	\$317,564	\$328,175	\$339,260	\$350,842	\$362,939	\$375,575	\$388,773	\$402,556	\$416,949	\$431,978	\$447,670
Utility Payment with Solar	SO N/A	\$130,489	\$136,278	\$142,320	\$148,624	\$155,203	\$162,069	\$169,232	\$176,708	\$184,507	\$192,646	\$201,137	\$209,997	\$219,240	\$228,884	\$238,946	\$249,443	\$260,394	\$271,819	\$283,738	\$296,171	\$309,141	\$322,671	\$336,784	\$351,506	\$366,862	\$382,880
SSA Payment	0\$	\$73,440	\$73,073	\$72,707	\$72,344	\$71,982	\$71,622	\$71,264	\$70,908	\$70,553	\$70,201	\$69,850	\$69,500	\$69,153	\$68,807	\$68,463	\$68,121	\$67,780	\$67,441	\$67,104	\$66,768	\$66,435	\$66,102	\$65,772	\$65,443	\$65,116	\$64,790
Annual Solar Production	0	816,000	811,920	807,860	803,821	799,802	795,803	791,824	787,865	783,926	780,006	776,106	772,225	768,364	764,522	760,700	756,896	753,112	749,346	745,600	741,872	738,162	734,471	730,799	727,145	723,509	719,892
Annual Usage	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160	1,914,160
Avg. Util. \$/kWh Annual Usage	\$0.1142	\$0.1188	\$0.1236	\$0.1286	\$0.1339	\$0.1393	\$0.1449	\$0.1508	\$0.1569	\$0.1632	\$0.1699	\$0.1767	\$0.1839	\$0.1913	\$0.1991	\$0.2072	\$0.2155	\$0.2243	\$0.2334	\$0.2428	\$0.2526	\$0.2629	\$0.2735	\$0.2846	\$0.2961	\$0.3081	\$0.3206
Year	0	-	2	က	4	ις.	G	~	80	o	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	₽	20	21	22	23	24	25	26

.0 20
₹ 0
60
- G
atk rat
ilit ca YA

Total savings 15 years: \$8'
Total savings 25 years: \$2,22

\$811,181 \$2,227,665

SolarCity - Copper Ridge High School

		а		b		С	а	- (b + c)
	<u> Ųtilit</u>	y w/o solar	Utili	ty w solar	<u>Sc</u>	lar cost	Cos	st Benefit
January	\$	10,710	\$	977	\$	6,503	\$	3,230
February	\$	11,443	\$	1,710	\$	6,503	\$	3,230
March	\$	11,164	\$	1,131	\$	6,503	\$	3,530
April	\$	13,638	\$	3,215	\$	6,503	\$	3,920
May	\$	17,318	\$	5,694	\$	6,503	\$	5,122
June	\$	13,386	\$	2,452	\$	6,503	\$	4,432
July	\$	15,618	\$	3,994	\$ -	6,503	\$	5,122
August	\$	20,085	\$	8,461	\$	6,503	\$	5,122
September	\$	20,970	\$	9,346	\$	6,503	\$	5,122
October	\$	17,492	\$	5,868	\$	6,503	\$	5,122
November	\$	13,916	\$	3,493	\$	6,503	\$	3,920
December	\$	11,205	\$	1,592	\$	6,503	\$	3,110
Total	\$	176,945	\$	47,932	\$	78,030	\$	50,983

Cholla Savings

	Otility escalato	PPA rate														Total savings 15 years:	\$40,933 Total savings 25 years:										
Savings	\$0	\$6,684	\$8,504	\$10,381	\$12,316	\$14,312	\$16,370	\$18,493	\$20,683	\$22,943	\$25,275	\$27,682	\$30,166	\$32,730	\$35,378	\$38,111	\$40,933	\$43,848	\$46,858	\$49,967	\$53,179	\$56,496	\$59,924	\$63,465	\$67,124	\$70,906	\$74,813
Total Payment without Solar	\$64,655	\$67,273	866,69\$	\$72,833	\$75,782	\$78,852	\$82,045	\$85,368	\$88,825	\$92,423	\$96,166	\$100,061	\$104,113	\$108,330	\$112,717	\$117,282	\$122,032	\$126,974	\$132,117	\$137,467	\$143,035	\$148,828	\$154,855	\$161,127	\$167,652	\$174,442	\$181,507
Total Payments with Solar	N/A	\$60,589	\$61,494	\$62,452	\$63,466	\$64,540	\$65,675	\$66,875	\$68,142	\$69,479	\$70,891	\$72,379	\$73,947	\$75,599	\$77,339	\$79,171	\$81,098	\$83,126	\$85,259	\$87,500	\$89,856	\$92,331	\$94,931	\$97,662	\$100,528	\$103,537	\$106,694
Utility Payment with Solar		\$21,269	\$22,370	\$23,524	\$24,733	\$26,000	\$27,328	\$28,720	\$30,178	\$31,705	\$33,305	\$34,981	\$36,736	\$38,574	\$40,500	\$42,516	\$44,626	\$46,837	\$49,150	\$51,573	\$54,108	\$56,762	\$59,540	\$62,447	\$65,490	\$68,674	\$72,005
SSA Payment	80 N/A	\$39,320	\$39,123	\$38,928	\$38,733	\$38,539	\$38,347	\$38,155	\$37,964	\$37,774	\$37,586	\$37,398	\$37,211	\$37,025	\$36,839	\$36,655	\$36,472	\$36,290	\$36,108	\$35,928	\$35,748	\$35,569	\$35,391	\$35,214	\$35,038	\$34,863	\$34,689
Annual Solar Production	0	436,889	434,705	432,531	430,368	428,217	426,075	423,945	421,825	419,716	417,618	415,530	413,452	411,385	409,328	407,281	405,245	403,218	401,202	399,196	397,200	395,214	393,238	391,272	389,316	387,369	385,432
Annual Usage	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880	638,880
Avg. Util. \$/kwh	\$0.1012	\$0.1053	\$0.1096	\$0.1140	\$0.1186	\$0.1234	\$0.1284	\$0.1336	\$0.1390	\$0.1447	\$0.1505	\$0.1566	\$0.1630	\$0.1696	\$0.1764	\$0.1836	\$0.1910	\$0.1987	\$0.2068	\$0.2152	\$0.2239	\$0.2330	\$0.2424	\$0.2522	\$0.2624	\$0.2730	\$0.2841
Year	0	-	2	က	4	5	9	7	8	ග	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26

\$320,029 \$947,542

4.05%

c)

Utility escalator PPA rate

SolarCity - Cholla Elementary School

		а		b		С	а	- (b + c)
	Utility	<u>/ w/o solar</u>	<u>Util</u>	ity w solar	<u>s</u>	<u>olar cost</u>	Cos	st Benefit
lonuone	\$	4,211	\$	575.52	\$	3,277	\$	359
January February	\$ \$	4,454	Ф \$	704	\$ \$	3,277	Ф \$	474
March	\$ \$	4,332	φ \$	582	φ \$	3,277	φ \$	474
		•	•	171	Ф \$	3,277	φ \$	578
April	\$	4,026 5,710	\$	318	э \$	3,277	Φ \$	
May	\$	5,710 5,403	\$	174	•	3,277 3,277	•	2,116
June	\$	5,493	\$		\$	•	\$	2,042
July	\$	4,691	\$	171	\$	3,277	\$	1,244
August	\$	7,718	\$	1,959	\$	3,277	\$	2,483
September	\$	4,690	\$	170	\$	3,277	\$	1,244
October	\$	5,343	\$	318	\$	3,277	\$	1,748
November	\$	3,429	\$	170	\$	3,277	\$	(17)
December	\$	3,713	\$	443	\$	3,277	\$	(7)
Total	\$	57,811	\$	5,755	\$	39,320	\$	12,737

Note that for the months of July and September, November kW and kWh counts are used in the absence of data for these months.

November data is selected for imputing in July and September as it is the month with lowest kW. Note that this model excludes the benefits of billing credits that result from excess of solar generation above the school needs which would indicate greater cost benefit.

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 KRISTIN K. MAYES Chairman 3 GARY PIERCE Commissioner PAUL NEWMAN 4 Commissioner SANDRA D. KENNEDY 5 Commissioner 6 **BOB STUMP** Commissioner 7 8 IN THE MATTER OF SOLARCITY DOCKET NO. E-20690A-10-0012 CORPORATION REGARDING ITS DECISION NO. 9 REQUEST FOR SPECIAL CONTRACT APPROVAL FOR SOLAR SERVICES **ORDER** 10 **AGREEMENTS** 11 12 13 Open Meeting March 31 and April 1, 2010 Phoenix, Arizona 14 BY THE COMMISSION: 15 16 **FINDINGS OF FACT BACKGROUND** 17 18 On July 2, 2009, SolarCity Corporation ("SolarCity" or "Company") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") in Docket No. E-20690A-09-0346 an 19 20 application for a determination that it is not acting as a public service corporation when it provides certain specific solar electric services to Arizona schools, governments, and non-profit entities 21 ("Application"). The Application additionally requests expedited consideration of two specific 22 23 Solar Service Agreements ("SSAs" or "Agreements") that it has entered with the Scottsdale 24 Unified School District. The affected schools are Coronado High School, which is located at 2501 25 North 74th Street in Scottsdale, and Desert Mountain High School, located at 12575 East Via Linda in Scottsdale. 26 27 28

- 4
- 5
- 6 7
- 8
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 2. SolarCity stated in its Application that expedited consideration is necessary to allow Arizona to maximize its allocation of federal stimulus funding under the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act and to maximize available federal tax incentives.
- 3. On July 16, 2009, a procedural conference was held to discuss processing the Application. Appearing at the Procedural Conference were the following entities: SolarCity, Arizona Public Service Company ("APS"), Salt River Project ("SRP"), Tucson Electric Power Company, UNS Electric, Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc., Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc., Freeport-McMoRan, Arizonans for Electric Choice & Competition, Residential Utility Consumer Office, and Commission Utilities Division Staff ("Staff").
- At the Procedural Conference, there was general agreement among the parties that 4. an adjudication process usually requires the development of a factual record. The determination of whether SolarCity is a public service corporation will likely require an evidentiary hearing in order for the Commission to have an adequate record upon which to base its Decision. At the Procedural Conference, the possibility of a more streamlined form of regulation was also discussed for entities such as SolarCity should it be found to be acting as a public service corporation.
- 5. In order to allow SolarCity to take advantage of federal stimulus funding, Staff proposed a two-part procedure for processing the Application. This procedure would allow the Commission to issue "preliminary relief" through a Commission Decision by the August 2009 Open Meeting. The first step of Staff's proposed procedure involves review and evaluation of the SSAs as special contracts ("Track 1") for the purpose of positioning the Company to move forward pending the completion of an adjudication proceeding.
- 6. The adjudication proceeding ("Track 2") is the second step of the proposed procedure. The adjudication proceeding is designed to address SolarCity's arguments that it is not acting as a public service corporation with respect to its provision of service to the Scottsdale Unified School District.
- 7. This bifurcated procedure is meant not only to provide a means by which SolarCity can proceed with the projects identified in the Application, but also to allow an adequate evidentiary record for consideration of the issue of whether SolarCity is acting as a public service

Decision	No.	

corporation through Track 2. Staff proposed that Track 1 (evaluation of the Agreements as special contracts) occur without prejudice to whatever position SolarCity, Staff, or any other party would choose to take in the adjudication proceeding.

- 8. The parties appearing at the Procedural Conference generally supported Staff's proposed Track 1 and Track 2 process as long as the Commission's approval of the two SSAs as special contracts is without prejudice to consideration of Track 2 issues.
- 9. Staff's bifurcated procedural proposal was adopted in the Procedural Order of July 22, 2009. The Procedural Order required Staff to file a Staff report that includes an evaluation of the two SSAs that SolarCity has entered with the Scottsdale Unified School District for Coronado High School and Desert Mountain High School, and a recommendation to the Commission for action thereon.
- 10. For Track 2, the Procedural Order established a procedural schedule for the filing of testimony and an evidentiary hearing on the issues raised by the Application.
- 11. Decision No. 71277 of September 2009 approved rates in response to SolarCity's Track I Application for Coronado High School and Desert Mountain High School.
- 12. On January 14, 2010, SolarCity filed with the Commission (Docket No. E-20690A-09-0346) a second Track I application ("Track 1.1") for special contact approval of rates for SSAs with Casa Grande Elementary School District for its Cholla Elementary School ("Cholla") and Scottsdale Unified School District for its Copper Ridge Middle School ("Copper Ridge").
 - 13. This recommended order deals with the Track 1.1 application.
- B. STAFF ANALYSIS SOLARCITY'S SSA WITH SCOTTSDALE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT AND SOLARCITY'S SSA WITH CASA GRANDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT

General Provisions Relating to the SSAs

- 14. SolarCity and the Scottsdale Unified School District have entered into an SSA for a photovoltaic project at Copper Ridge. SolarCity and the Casa Grande Elementary School District have entered into a similar SSA for Cholla.
- 15. The costs to provide and install the photovoltaic ("PV") systems would be borne by SolarCity, and the School Districts would receive energy produced by the systems for a period of

n	ът.		
Decision	NΩ		

fifteen years at a contract rate of \$0.09 per kWh. SolarCity would retain ownership of the PV equipment.

- 16. The SSAs contain a provision that stipulates that the \$0.09 per kWh rate is subject to modification ("rebate variance") should anticipated rebates from APS change or become unavailable. The Agreements assume a rebate from APS of \$2.25 per Watt. Should the actual rebate be lower than anticipated, the contract price would be adjusted to reflect the actual rebate received pursuant to the SSAs. SolarCity has communicated to Staff through response to a data request, however, that reservations for the rebates have been made and that a rebate variance will not occur.
- 17. At the end of the fifteen-year contract period, the School Districts will have an option to purchase the system at the higher of the specified contract price or fair market value. Alternatively, the School Districts can ask that the equipment be removed.
- 18. In the first Track I filing that occurred in Docket No. E-20690A-09-0346, SolarCity asked for approval of a \$0.11 per kWh rate. In Decision No. 71277 (September 2009), the Commission ordered that a rate of \$0.11 per kWh for the Desert Mountain High School Solar Service Agreement and the Coronado High School Solar Service Agreement be approved and that the rate may be adjusted upward to a maximum of \$0.1424 per kWh, pursuant to the Solar Service Agreement's rebate-variance provision.
- 19. Later, SolarCity made an application seeking to amend the Tract I Order to lower the bottom range of the approved rate from \$0.11 per kWh to \$0.09 per kWh.
- 20. In Decision No. 71443 (December 2009), the Commission ordered that the rate of \$0.09 per kWh for the Desert Mountain High School Solar Service Agreement and the Coronado High School Solar Service Agreement be approved and that this rate may be adjusted upward to a maximum of \$0.1424 per kWh, pursuant to the Solar Service Agreement's rebate-variance provision.
- 21. The instant application does not appear to specify a particular rate or rate range that is requested; however, the SSAs specify a contract rate of \$0.09 per kWh. Staff inquired of SolarCity whether a particular rate or rate range was sought. SolarCity replied that because the

Decision N	No.
------------	-----

4 5

6 7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 rebates have been reserved, SolarCity is seeking approval of the stated rate of \$0.09/kWh and no rate range is necessary.

Copper Ridge Middle School (Scottsdale Unified School District /APS Service Territory)

- The SSA for Copper Ridge specifies the installation of a 510 kW PV system to 22. generate a part of its electric load.
- 23. Copper Ridge currently purchases its electric power from APS under the APS E-32 rate schedule. The Scottsdale Unified School District has communicated to Staff that, after installing the PV equipment, Copper Ridge will be served under either APS' E-32 tariff or alternatively under the EPR-6 tariff. Based on Staff's review of APS' tariffs, it appears that after installation of PV equipment, Copper Ridge would be on the APS EPR-6 (Net Metering) tariff. Schedule EPR-6 specifies that billing shall occur in accordance with the applicable Standard Retail Rate Schedule, which is presently E-32 Large (More than 400 kW) for Copper Ridge.
- 24. The APS E-32 Large rates for customers taking secondary service are currently as follows:

Basic Service Charge \$1.627 per day

Per kW charges

\$9.384 per kW for the first 100 kW plus \$4.993 per kW for all additional kW

Per kWh charges

\$0.10093 per kWh for the first 200 kWh per kW, plus \$0.05902 per kWh for all additional kWh during the months of May through October

\$0.0843 per kWh for the first 200 kWh per kW, plus \$0.04239 per kWh for all additional kWh during the months of November through April

- 25. Summer is defined as May through October. Winter is defined as November through April.
- 26. The Scottsdale Unified School District provided Staff with information from its cost-benefit analysis of the Copper Ridge SSA. The Scottsdale Unified School District relied on a cost-benefit analysis provided to it by SolarCity and independently verified assumptions contained

Decis		~ T	
120010	***	V) V	
PCIN	SIL DI L	INCE.	

in the analysis. SolarCity also consulted with APS in regard to assumptions contained in the analysis. The analysis demonstrates savings beginning in the first year of operation of the solar facilities and continuing throughout a 26-year period.

- 27. Results of the Scottsdale Unified School District's analysis are included as Attachment A to the Staff memorandum.
 - 28. SolarCity also provided Staff with a cost-benefit analysis of the Copper Ridge SSA.
- 29. Results of the SolarCity analysis are included as Attachment B to the Staff memorandum. The SolarCity analysis reflects the same information seen in Attachment A to the Staff memorandum as it was the basis for Scottsdale Unified School District's analysis.
- 30. Staff also conducted a cost-benefit analysis of the installation of the proposed solar facility for Copper Ridge based on the \$0.09 per kWh SSA rate and also found that benefits exceeded costs. Staff's cost-benefit analysis calculates savings in each month of a single calendar year and a positive total net savings for the calendar year.
- 31. Attachment C to the Staff Memorandum contains results of the cost-benefit analysis performed by Staff for the Copper Ridge SSA.
- 32. Both the Scottsdale Unified School District's analysis and the SolarCity analyses differ from Staff's in that they are multi-year rather than single-year analyses.
- 33. In consideration of all of the information received by Staff in its analysis, Staff believes that a rate of \$0.09 per kWh is just and reasonable and should be approved by the Commission.

Cholla Elementary School (Casa Grande Elementary School District/ APS Service Territory)

- 34. The SSA for Cholla specifies the installation of a 275 kW photovoltaic ("PV") system to generate a part of its electric load.
- 35. Cholla currently purchases its electric power from APS under the APS E-32 rate schedule. The Casa Grande Elementary School District has communicated to Staff that, after installing the PV equipment, Cholla will be served under either APS' E-32 tariff or alternatively under the EPR-6 tariff. Based on Staff's review of APS' tariffs, it appears that after installation of

Decision	No.	
----------	-----	--

PV equipment, Cholla would be on the APS EPR-6 (Net Metering) tariff. Schedule EPR-6 specifies that billing shall occur in accordance with the applicable Standard Retail Rate Schedule, which is presently E-32 Medium (101 to 400 kW) for Cholla.

The APS E-32 Medium rates for customers taking secondary service are currently 36. as follows:

Basic Service Charge \$1.324 per day

Per kW charges

\$9.597 per kW for the first 100 kW plus \$5.105 per kW for all additional kW

Per kWh charges

\$0.1032 per kWh for the first 200 kWh per kW, plus \$0.06034 per kWh for all additional kWh during the months of May through October

\$0.08619 per kWh for the first 200 kWh per kW, plus \$0.04334 per kWh for all additional kWh during the months of November through April

- Summer is defined as May through October. Winter is defined as November 37. through April.
- 38. The Casa Grande Elementary School District relied on a cost-benefit analysis provided to it by SolarCity.
 - 39. SolarCity provided Staff with a cost-benefit analysis of the Cholla SSA.
 - 40. Results of the SolarCity analysis are included as Attachment D to the Staff memorandum.
 - 41. Staff also conducted a cost-benefit analysis of the installation of the proposed solar facility for Cholla based on the \$0.09 per kWh SSA rate and also found that benefits exceeded costs. Staff's cost-benefit analysis calculates savings in most months of a single calendar year and a positive total net savings for the calendar year.
 - Attachment E to the Staff Memorandum contains results of the cost-benefit analysis 42. performed by Staff for the Cholla SSA.

28

- 43. SolarCity's analysis differs from Staff's in that it is a multi-year rather than single-year analysis.
- 44. Note that in Staff's analysis benefits of accrued billing credits that result from net solar production in certain months are not included in this model, but will likely occur in practice.
- 45. In consideration of all of the information received by Staff in its analysis, Staff believes that a rate of \$0.09 per kWh is just and reasonable and should be approved by the Commission.

Fair Value Analysis

46. Staff also considered the fair value implications of this matter. Staff obtained information from SolarCity indicating that an estimated fair value for the assets to be used to serve the School Districts would be approximately \$3.3 million for Copper Ridge and \$1.8 million for Cholla. While Staff considered the fair value information submitted by SolarCity, this information should not be given substantial weight in this analysis. The rates contained in the SSA are heavily influenced by the availability of stimulus funds, other federal incentives, utility rebates, and certain market conditions. Staff believes that the proposed \$0.09 per kWh rate compares favorably to the rates the School Districts would otherwise pay and, under the circumstances presented herein, the proposed rates are just and reasonable.

C. STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

- 47. Staff has recommended approval of the proposed SSA rates as special contract rates between SolarCity and the School Districts for solar facilities at Copper Ridge and Cholla in order to provide a means for the School Districts and SolarCity to move forward with these projects.
- 48. In consideration of all of the information received by Staff in its analysis, Staff believes that a rate of \$0.09 per kWh is just and reasonable and should be approved by the Commission.
- 49. Staff has recommended that the Commission's Order in this matter specify that approval of these rates as special contract rates does not prejudice any future consideration of whether SolarCity is acting as a public service corporation when it provides service pursuant to the SSAs at issue in this Docket.

Decision	No.	

2

3 4

6

7

5

8 9

10

11

12 13

15

16

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The Commission, having reviewed the Application and Staff's Memorandum dated March 16, 2010, concludes that it is in the public interest to extend preliminary relief to SolarCity, Casa Grande Elementary School District (for the Cholla Elementary School), and the Scottsdale Unified School District (for the Copper Ridge Middle School) while determination of whether SolarCity is a public service corporation remains open pending future determination of that issue in Track 2 of Docket No. E-20690A-09-0346.
- 2. The Commission's findings in this Track 1.1 are made without prejudice to the Applicant's and other parties' positions or arguments to be presented in Track 2 of Docket No. E-20690A-09-0346.
- 3. If the Applicant's request in Track 2 of Docket No. E-20690A-09-0346 is granted, and it is ultimately determined that SolarCity is not acting as a public service corporation when it enters into SSAs with schools, non-profits and governmental entities; then this Order will be void and of no further effect.
- If the Applicant's request in Track 2 of Docket No. E-20690A-09-0346 is denied, and it is ultimately determined that SolarCity is acting as a public service corporation when it enters into SSAs with schools, non-profits and governmental entities; then the Commission's approval of the rates set forth in Copper Ridge SSA and the Cholla SSA as special contract rates herein shall survive that determination.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the rates proposed in the Solar Service Agreements between SolarCity Corporation and Scottsdale Unified School District for a photovoltaic project at Copper Ridge Middle School and Casa Grande Elementary School District for a photovoltaic project at Cholla Elementary School be and hereby are approved as special contract rates as discussed herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a rate of \$0.09 per kWh for the Copper Ridge Middle School Solar Service Agreement and the Cholla Elementary School Solar Service Agreement be approved.

Decision No.	-		. .	
	13.	PC1910n	NΩ	

ì	IT IS FURTHER ORDE	RED that Commission approval b	by this Order does not prejudice		
2	any party from asserting that SolarCity Corporation is not a public service corporation in the				
3	subsequent adjudication proceeding in Track 2 of Docket No. E-20690A-09-0346.				
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDE	RED that this Decision shall becon	ne effective immediately.		
5					
6	BY THE ORDER O	F THE ARIZONA CORPORAT	ION COMMISSION		
7					
8					
9	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER				
10					
11	COVID (TOOYOUTED	COLO MOCKOVED	0010 40010175		
12	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER		
13			I, ERNEST G. JOHNSON,		
14		have hereunto, set my hand	izona Corporation Commission, and caused the official seal of		
15			ed at the Capitol, in the City of, 2010.		
16					
17					
18		ERNEST G. JOHNSON EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR			
19		EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR			
20	DISSENT:				
21 22	,				
23	DISSENT:				
24	SMO:SPI:lhm\CHH				
25					
26					
27					
28					
			Decision No.		
	ll .		DOCISION INO.		

Decision No.

ļ						
1	SERVICE LIST FOR: SolarCity Corporation					
2	DOCKET NO. E-20690A-10-0012					
3	Mr. Court Rich					
4	SolarCity Corporation 6613 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 200					
5	Scottsdale, Arizona 85250					
6	Mr. Frank Corder					
7	Director, Maintenance and Grounds Pinal County School District 4					
8	Casa Grande Elementary School District 1180 East Korsten					
9	Casa Grande, Arizona 85259					
10	Mr. David Peterson					
11	Scottsdale Unified School District #48 3811 North 44 th Street					
12	Scottsdale, Arizona 85018					
13	Mr. Steven M. Olea Director, Utilities Division					
14	Arizona Corporation Commission					
15	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007					
16	Ms. Janice M. Alward					
17	Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission					
18	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007					
19	Thomas, Mizona 65007					
20						
21						
22						
23						
2425						
26						
27						
28						